

The S2 VLBI Correlator: A Correlator for Space VLBI and Geodetic Signal Processing

B. R. Carlson, P. E. Dewdney, T. A. Burgess, R. V. Casorso
National Research Council of Canada, Herzberg Institute of Astrophysics,
Dominion Radio Astrophysical Observatory,
Penticton, British Columbia, Canada
Electronic mail: Brent.Carlson@hia.nrc.ca, Peter.Dewdney@hia.nrc.ca

W. T. Petrachenko
Natural Resources Canada, Dominion Radio Astrophysical Observatory,
Penticton, British Columbia, Canada
Electronic mail: Bill.Petrachenko@hia.nrc.ca

and W. H. Cannon
Space Geodynamics Laboratory, Center for Research in Earth and Space Technology,
4850 Keele Street, North York, Ontario, Canada
Electronic mail: wayne@sgl.crestech.ca

ABSTRACT

A unique lag-based VLBI correlator system has been developed for the purpose of supporting both S2-based Space VLBI observations in the Japanese-led VSOP mission and the Canadian Geodetic VLBI program. The system architecture has been designed so that replication of a small number of modules can be used to construct systems with a wide range of sizes. Optimized for a large correlator, the design is ‘station-based’ in the sense that as many hardware and software functions as possible are performed before data is replicated and transmitted for baseline (station pair) processing. As well as delay compensation and generation of phase rotation coefficients, station-based functions include autocorrelation, tone extraction, pulsar gating, signal-statistics accumulation, and digital filtering. Doppler shift correction (fringe stopping) is performed on a baseline basis *at each correlator lag* so that there are no smearing effects (lag-dependent loss of coherence) or frequency shifts that must otherwise be corrected after correlation. This is a key element that simplifies the baseline processing architecture when high accelerations associated with an orbiting antenna must be considered. Flexible, efficient distribution of data from station-based hardware to baseline-based hardware is accomplished by

serializing the wide data paths to 1 Gbit/s signals and using high-speed switches to route the signals to their final destinations where they are de-serialized before cross-correlation. This greatly reduces the size, wiring complexity, and cost of the system. The interval between updates of the delay models, integration times, and other important events is typically 10 ms, but can be as short as 1 ms. Within this period, delay and fringe model generation is performed using linear hardware synthesizers. The correlator also contains a number of unique signal processing functions that extend its capability beyond a basic VLBI correlator: flexible Local Oscillator frequency switching for bandwidth synthesis; rapid (1 ms) correlator dump intervals (allowing, for example, the study of some single-pulse pulsar characteristics on VLBI baselines); simple but powerful multi-rate digital signal-processing techniques to allow correlation of signals at different but related sample rates; and a digital ‘zoom’ filter for producing very high resolution cross-power spectra. The correlator software, written almost entirely in ‘C’, is highly integrated into the system, supports all of the functions mentioned above, and is re-configurable to support expansion of the correlator. The software schedules the use of hardware resources to enable correlation of multiple observations concurrently, and automatically schedules the correlation of observations that require more than the available number of physical playback terminals. There is also substantial pre-correlation consistency checking. The delay model is based on CALC for ground-based antennas and NAIF for space-based antennas. Output data is stored in the UVFITS format. The paper describes the design rationale, architecture and function of the correlator, and also provides specifications for the implemented system.

Subject headings: instrumentation: interferometers — techniques: interferometric — techniques: spectroscopic

1. Introduction

Very Long Baseline Interferometry (VLBI) is a well established technique used to achieve milliarcsecond resolution with cm wave radio telescopes operating in an interferometer array configuration on a continental or global basis. Large separation between interferometer elements is desirable, since as the separation increases, the resolution of the resulting image increases. In VLBI, due to the distance between interferometer elements and the large bandwidths involved, it is not currently practical to combine the signals from these elements in real time. Thus wide-band recording technology has been developed so that

the signals may be recorded at observing time and combined or correlated at a central site some time later. Most notably, the MkIII (Whitney 1982) and VLBA (Hinteregger *et al.* 1991) (Napier *et al.* 1994) observing, recording, and correlation systems have been in widespread use for a number of years. The MkIII is now being replaced with a much higher performance MkIV system and the VLBA has seen performance increases since its initial design. Recently, a new low-cost S2 recording system (Wietfeldt *et al.* 1996) and correlation system have been developed in Canada for the purpose of supporting Space VLBI and geodetic VLBI (Cannon *et al.* 1997). The S2 correlator, described herein, can also be upgraded with recorders up to four times the bandwidth of the S2 recorders. The S2 recording system is a descendent of the S1 system (Yen *et al.* 1988). The main reason for developing this system has been to provide a low-cost recording and playback system that meets VSOP mission requirements, thus enabling a more diverse set of ground radio telescopes to participate in space and other VLBI programs than would be available if the MkIV or VLBA systems were used exclusively.

Space VLBI overcomes the fundamental limit imposed on ground-based VLBI – the size of the Earth – by placing a parabolic antenna into Earth orbit. Thus, separations between antennas (*i.e.* baselines) several times the diameter of the Earth can be achieved. The orbit is chosen to be highly eccentric so that many different spacings between interferometer elements can be obtained during the course of an observation, thus producing a synthesized aperture (Thompson *et al.* 1986) that is as completely filled as possible. Although the concept is straightforward, there are complicating factors which make the operation of a Space VLBI system more complex than a ground-based system. Aspects of this which affect correlator design are: correction for variations in the clock for the Space Radio Telescope (SRT)¹, and the ability to track delay and Doppler shift from an antenna with a velocity as high as 10 km/sec and an acceleration close to 1 g. The Japanese-led VSOP (VLBI Space Observatory Programme) (Hirosawa and Hirabayashi 1996) mission is currently in the fully operational state and is successfully making a few dozen observations per month with the HALCA satellite that was launched on February 12, 1997 (Hirabayashi *et al.* 1998).

The correlator described in this paper has been specifically designed to handle the long baselines, and the high velocities and accelerations of Space VLBI. It is now one of three operational Space VLBI correlators and processes data from up to thirteen S2-equipped ground radio telescopes and five S2-equipped tracking stations on a regular basis —

¹VLBI antennas on the ground synchronize to local hydrogen-maser time standards. Since construction of reliable and cost-effective space-qualified hydrogen masers for Space VLBI are still under development in Switzerland and elsewhere, a clock signal is transmitted to the SRT over a telemetry link to the spacecraft (see also (Springett 1992)).

mostly from the VSOP mission. In addition, it was designed to process frequency-switched observations used in the geodetic VLBI application to accurately measure delay, using the ‘bandwidth synthesis’ technique (Petrachenko *et al.* 1993).

VLBI correlators are devices whose complexity rivals that of entire telescopes. They are typically operated as facilities for a wide variety of astronomical and geodetic observers. The purpose of this paper is to describe in some detail the design rationale, architecture, and function of this correlator. The paper is directed both at the prospective builders of future VLBI correlators and at the sophisticated user of this correlator.

2. Hardware System Architecture

The underlying principle guiding the design of the correlator system hardware was: *Minimize the amount of hardware (and resulting software) design work and maximize the functionality, flexibility, and expandability of the system.* A lag-based (‘XF’²) correlator architecture was chosen and wherever possible, industry-standard circuit board form factors, connectors, bus interfaces, and protocols were used. This resulted in the design of a small number of modules, each with well defined interfaces and functionality that can be used as building blocks to construct virtually any size system. Fig. 1 is a simplified block diagram which shows a multi-station correlator including all of the important building blocks — the S2-Playback Terminal (S2-PT), Station Module, Serial Distribution Module (SDM), and Baseline Module.

As with most digital systems, many performance characteristics are dependent upon the timing of computer-generated events. In this paper the minimum interval between events is called the ‘correlator time-slice’, and governs the frequency with which delay and phase models can be updated, data read out, etc. In the system described here, the correlator time-slice can be either 10 ms or 1 ms. More detail on this subject is presented in sections 4.2 and 5.

²An ‘XF’ correlator performs time-domain cross-correlation and integration followed by Fourier transform to get the final result. An ‘FX’ correlator performs a real-time Fourier transform followed by multiplication and accumulation to get the final result. In principle, there are fewer operations in the FX correlator, but the operations that must be performed after Fourier transform must use longer word lengths than those performed in a 1 or 2-bit XF correlator. FX correlators have been successfully built but are, in the opinion of the designers of this correlator, more complex and difficult to implement than XF correlators.

Fig. 1.— Simplified correlator block diagram showing all critical modules. Data originates from the S2-PT, undergoes station-based processing in the Station Module, and is distributed by Serial Distribution Modules (SDMs) to Baseline Modules where correlation occurs. Embedded CPUs controlling hardware and reading out data are commanded by one or more Host Computers.

2.1. S2 — VLBI Playback Terminal (S2-PT)

The S2-PT is a highly automated, self-contained tape machine that plays back sampled baseband data³ at 4, 8, 16, or 32 Msample/sec previously recorded on an S2-Record Terminal (S2-RT) at a receiving antenna site. The control and data interface to the S2-PT is a functionally complete, well-defined interface that minimizes the amount of tape-specific processing needed in the correlator. The S2-PT uses VHS recording technology, modified to record digital data, and additional control electronics to provide the correlator with up to 16 bit-streams of digital data in its original sampled form along with precise timing information. These data include data-valid flags that indicate whether samples from the tapes are good or bad. Timing information is provided to the correlator via a 1 Hz time-tick in conjunction with a dedicated communications link called the Recorder Control Link (RCL). The correlator automatically controls tape motion by sending high-level commands to the S2-PT on the RCL. The S2-PT responds to commands and informs the correlator of its current state.

2.2. Station Module

The Station Module (Fig. 2) maps the bit-streams from the S2-PT (hereafter referred to as simply ‘the S2’) into up to eight channels of 2-bit quantized data and data-valid bits and performs all required station-based processing for a single station. This includes station delay compensation to ± 0.5 samples of delay, per channel 4-bit quantized fringe phase generation to be used in downstream cross-correlation, autocorrelation, phase calibration tone extraction⁴, and quantizer state histogram accumulation. The Station Module is implemented with a number of in-system programmable Field Programmable Gate Arrays (FPGAs) and can thus be reprogrammed to either correct functional problems or implement new functionality within the constraints of the existing hardware connectivity. The Station Module is implemented on a 9U x 400 mm standard VME circuit board. (The VME standard is described in ANSI/IEEE STD1014-1987, IEC 821 and IEC 297, published by the IEEE Standards Board and the American National Standards Institute.)

³In radio astronomy antennas, data is sampled or quantized to 1 or 2 bits per baseband channel.

⁴Many VLBI antennas inject a tone comb across the received band — extraction of the amplitude and phase of these tones permits fault diagnosis and calibration of the phase and amplitude in the path from the antenna feeds to the sampler (Section 2.2.8).

Fig. 2.— Simplified Station Module block diagram showing all important functional blocks. Data enters the module from the S2 via the P3 connector. The main flow of data is shown by the dark lines through the middle of the diagram. The P2 connector is the port for the standard VSB bus which is used to control and read out data from the module using an external CPU board. The P1 connector is used only for routing the system-wide clock onto the module. The High Speed Serial Multiplexer block converts parallel data to one or two high speed bit streams for transmission to downstream correlator modules.

2.2.1. Delay Generator

The function of the delay model generator is to produce a value of real-time station delay at each sample time. There is one delay generator for each Station Module. It contains a 32-bit delay accumulator and a 32-bit delay rate register that are initialized with new coefficients every correlator time-slice and otherwise are free-running to yield a delay point every sample time. Station-based delay tracking is accomplished in hardware by looking for integer-sample delay changes in the delay accumulator and adjusting the main FIFO read clock accordingly. This aligns the data coming out of the Station Module to within ± 0.5 samples of delay. In addition, the upper 10 bits of the delay accumulator are serialized and sent along with the data (restricting the delay update period to once every 10 sample clock times) to the downstream correlator modules where baseline-based fine delay tracking and phase modification are performed. The integer part of the 10-bit word is fundamental to the operation of the Phase Modifier (see section 2.4.3) and the remaining bits are used to minimize the error incurred in the difference occurring in equations (3) and (4). The 10-bit word contains 0, 2, 3, or 4 bits of integer-sample delay information and 10, 8, 7, or 6 bits, respectively, of fractional-sample delay information, corresponding to values of the sampling factor, SF , of ∞ , 4, 8, and 16 respectively. (SF and the derivation of the baseline based delay tracking are described in section 2.4.3.) In this implementation, there are no practical limitations to the delay rate that can be tracked.

2.2.2. Fringe Generators

The function of the fringe generator is to calculate values used to de-rotate interferometer phase, which are then transmitted to the Baseline Modules to be applied to the data, as described in section 2.5. One independently programmable fringe-phase generator is available for each of the eight data channels. Each generator contains a 32-bit phase accumulator and a 32-bit phase rate register that, like the delay generator, is initialized with new coefficients every correlator time-slice and is otherwise free-running to yield a phase every sample time. At every sample clock time, the upper 4 bits of each phase accumulator are effectively compressed to one serial bit-stream each, and sent along with the associated channel's data, to the Baseline Modules. (This is implemented by sending the upper 4 bits of the phase and phase-rate coefficients to the Baseline Modules every correlator timeslice, and thereafter sending the carry bit from the lower 28-bits of the phase register to the Baseline Modules every sample clock time.) There are no limitations to the fringe frequencies that can be tracked with this hardware since accurate phase for each corresponding data sample is produced. The station-based fringe-phase generator equation

for the upper sideband case is (Thompson *et al.* 1986):

$$\phi(t) = -2\pi \cdot \tau(t) \cdot \left[\nu_{LO} - \frac{f_s}{SF} \right], \quad (1)$$

where $\tau(t)$ is the station-based delay model, ν_{LO} is the sky frequency that is converted to DC (zero frequency) on tape, f_s is the sample rate, and SF (section 2.4.3) is the sampling factor. The negative sign in front of equation (1) follows the sign convention chosen for the correlator delay: if the antenna is between the array reference and the radio source it is a negative delay. The lower sideband fringe generator equation is identical except for a sign reversal in equation (1).

2.2.3. Alignment and Delay Tracking FIFO

This is a 256k, 1M, or 4M by 25-bit FIFO for absorbing the short term frequency variations between the correlator clock and the S2 clock, as well as for compensating for station delay (section 2.2.1). The choice of size is determined by the cost and need for large delays on the Station Module. (Note that large delays can also be obtained by controlling the Playback Terminal, but electronic delays can be controlled more rapidly.) The 25 bits are used to carry two data and one data-valid bit for each channel plus the 1 Hz tick. Since all eight data channels are represented across the 25-bit word-size in the FIFO, the delay is applied to all eight channels at once. The output clock of this FIFO is modified by the delay-tracking hardware to track delay to ± 0.5 samples.

2.2.4. Alignment Determination

At all times during the correlation, the digital data being played back from the tapes must be time-aligned exactly (*i.e.* within one delay interval). Because the correlator is station-based, it is convenient to define “correlator time”, an arbitrary reference time that is close to the actual time of the experiment. The 1 Hz ticks in the correlator are “time-tagged” with this time. The 1 Hz ticks, derived from the S2 tapes, with the same time-tags are compared and then aligned with the correlator’s 1 Hz ticks. The alignment detection counter determines the delay between the correlator’s 1 Hz tick and the 1 Hz tick from the S2 after it has been fed through the station FIFO. The maximum alignment error is ± 0.5 samples of delay. Each S2 is treated the same way.

2.2.5. *S2 Test Vectors*

Test vectors are used for testing connectivity to the S2. The S2 can be commanded to generate a test sequence on its output cables. This block checks connectivity by generating the same sequence on the Station Module board and comparing it with the received sequence.

2.2.6. *Data Switch and Level Control*

The data switch dynamically connects correlator channels to S2 data streams. Any one of sixteen data/data-valid bit streams from the S2 can be mapped to any of the eight correlator channels using this switch. This switch is necessary because S2 channel mapping depends on the sample rate, quantization, and channelization chosen at record time. The Level Control block is used for translating various 1 and 2-bit encoding schemes to the correlator’s internal format.

2.2.7. *Test Vector Generator*

This block is used to generate simulated astronomical signals, complete with Doppler shift and delay for testing the connectivity and performance of the downstream hardware (including the delay tracking and fringe stopping hardware). The performance requirements of the correlator indicate that long sequences are needed to properly exercise the correlator hardware. However, the limited size of the test vector RAM makes it necessary to repeat test vectors on a periodic basis. In order to achieve this, the hardware and software is designed with a user-definable delay model during one correlator time-slice and then with the mirror image of the delay model on the next time-slice. Pseudo-random test sequences (‘test vectors’) are generated independently on both time-slices. The sequence then repeats. The delay model is normally chosen such that there are many delay steps within one time-slice. The use of the mirror image prevents zeroth order discontinuities in the simulated data that would otherwise cause delay-tracking loss in the correlated output (section 2.4.4). Fig. 3 more clearly shows how this is achieved. The dashed line is the model that was used when the test vector data was generated (curvature is highly exaggerated), and the solid line is the model that the correlator uses to track it.

Note that the sign of the fringe-phase rate will change every interval. In a conventional lag correlator this would cause ‘smearing’ and degradation of the cross-power spectrum, but because of the way the correlator implements lag-based fringe stopping, this is not a

problem (see section 2.5). Fig. 4 is an example of the real and imaginary lag components of a 512 lag test vector run. In this sequence there is high SNR, and there is both a strong continuum and line component to the data. The continuum component allows accurate measurement of the residual phase component of the run and the line component allows all of the lags to be exercised.

This test vector capability was originally developed to ensure that there were no systematic biases resulting from the correlator design. Initially, a test vector sequence was run through a software simulator whose systematic biases were closely studied and eliminated. The same sequence was then run through the correlator hardware and the outputs compared. This approach, using a simulation, was considered to be a crucial part of the design process. Operationally, pre-defined test vector correlator jobs are run once per day, and analyzed to ensure that all of the correlator hardware is functioning properly.

2.2.8. Phase Calibration Tone Extractors

A train of narrow pulses – resulting in a ‘comb’ of evenly spaced sinusoids across the observed band – are often injected into the feeds of VLBI antennas for the purpose of checking the stability of the electrical path from the earliest stages of the signal path to the recording system or correlator. They are also often used to inter-calibrate the phases of the baseband channels. In order to extract data for these purposes, digitized signals containing the tones are cross-correlated with synthesized tones at the correlator⁵ in devices called tone extractors. In this design, eight programmable phase calibration (phase-cal) tone extractors, each with 9-bit phase generation, are available. Eight extractors were built so there would be at least one available per channel and 9-bit phase generation was chosen to minimize false correlation with harmonics induced by coarse quantization. Each one may be connected to any channel on the input or output of the FIFO. If connected to the output of the FIFO, the phase of the injected tone is inherently modified in the FIFO by the station delay model. The phase of the tone extractor is correspondingly controlled to compensate for this effect. Data can be dumped from these accumulators up to 500 times per second. Fig. 5 shows three examples of extracted phase-cals that demonstrate the usefulness of this facility in the VSOP mission. The software that interprets the tone-extraction data derives the delay values shown in Fig. 5 from multiple tones, in this case four.

⁵This operation need not be performed at the correlator – though this correlator provides the facility to do so.

Fig. 3.— Test vector delay model. The model in the second interval is the mirror image of the model in the first interval so that no net delay change occurs, allowing test vector sequences to be repeated indefinitely. The curvature in the plot is highly exaggerated.

Fig. 4.— An example of 512 lag in-phase (top) and quadrature (bottom) test vector cross-correlation. The test vectors are chosen to exercise all lags so that even subtle hardware faults can easily be found.

Fig. 5.— Phase-cal tone extractor example plots. In (a) the phase-cal data is extracted from the VSOP satellite via two different tracking stations — in the first, a hardware bug in the tracking station causes random and frequent delay jumps, which do not show up in the phase alone, thus requiring the analysis of delay derived from multiple tones. In (b) phase-cal is shown for a perfect VSOP tracking pass. In (c) a phase and derived delay plot is shown for a ground radio telescope with some phase wander in the receiving system.

2.2.9. Quantizer-Statistics Accumulators

Quantizer-statistics accumulators permit monitoring the fraction of sampled data in each of the four digital levels. Eight accumulators are available, each of which can be connected to any channel on the input or output of the FIFO. Data can be dumped from these accumulators up to 1000 times per second. The results are used to derive three quantizer thresholds for each correlator input. The thresholds are used in an inversion algorithm, to convert raw correlation coefficients to normalized correlation coefficients. Following the treatment given in Hagen and Farley (1973), but in addition including all quantizer thresholds from both stations, the equation relating the actual correlation coefficient, ρ , and the correlator output, $\langle r4 \rangle$, is:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle r4(\rho) \rangle = & \frac{1}{\pi} \cdot \int_0^{\rho} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-r^2}} \cdot \left[\frac{n \cdot (n-2)}{2} \cdot (e^{\alpha(v_{0x}^-, v_{0y}^-, r)} + e^{\alpha(v_{0x}^-, v_{0y}^+, r)} + e^{\alpha(v_{0x}^+, v_{0y}^-, r)} + e^{\alpha(v_{0x}^+, v_{0y}^+, r)}) \right. \\ & \left. + n \cdot (e^{\alpha(v_{0x}^-, \Delta_y, r)} + e^{\alpha(\Delta_x, v_{0y}^-, r)} + e^{\alpha(\Delta_x, v_{0y}^+, r)} + e^{\alpha(v_{0x}^+, \Delta_y, r)}) \right] dr \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where $\alpha(v_1, v_2, r) = -\left[\frac{v_1^2 + v_2^2 - 2 \cdot v_1 \cdot v_2}{2 \cdot \sigma^2 \cdot (1 - r^2)}\right]$, v_{0x}^+ and v_{0x}^- are the upper and lower quantization levels for the X -station, v_{0y}^+ and v_{0y}^- are corresponding levels for the Y -station, Δ_x and Δ_y are the respective central quantization levels, and r is a dummy variable. In this implementation, n has been assigned the numerical value of 3 in the product for the regions above and below the extreme quantization levels, and 1 is the value for the two inner regions. σ^2 is the absolute power level of the analog input signal, and since the power level is unknown at this stage, σ is set to 1. After the correlation coefficients have been normalized in this way, the dependence on variations in quantizer thresholds is minimized, within the dynamic range of this method.

2.2.10. Synthetic Autocorrelator

The ‘synthetic’ autocorrelator measures the autocorrelation function in a single FPGA by accumulating lag data in a single accumulator and using a programmable delay line (dedicated FIFO) to set the appropriate lag. The correlation is carried out in a time-division multiplexed fashion. This is an inexpensive way to obtain a good representation of the autocorrelation spectrum. The autocorrelator can be connected to any one of the eight correlator channels on the output of the FIFO and can provide up to 4096 spectral points on each channel. Autocorrelation for multiple correlator channels is acquired through multiplexing. An example of a ‘synthetic’ autocorrelation spectrum obtained this way is shown in Fig. 6.

This method of measuring the spectrum assumes that the signal is statistically

stationary during the integration period. Normally the synthetic autocorrelator is programmed to spend only a short time at each lag, typically 10 ms. A complete spectrum is obtained as quickly as possible, and further time-averaging is accomplished by averaging an ensemble of spectra. This provides some measure of protection against distortion if there are non-stationary components in the signal.

Nevertheless, the autocorrelator is sensitive to bursts of unflagged errors if those errors create changes in the statistics. Frequently, this turns out to be tape errors or short bursts of narrow-band interference. If sequences of non-random errors that autocorrelate at large lags are present, high peaks will occur unexpectedly — producing a very noisy spectrum. At first glance, this appears to be an unwanted effect, but on the contrary it has unexpectedly proved to be a powerful diagnostic for systematic problems.

2.2.11. Pulsar Timers

There are two independently programmable pulsar timers — chosen so that two widely different sky frequencies (each having significantly different pulse arrival times) can be gated. Each timer contains a 32-bit pulse phase accumulator and a 32-bit pulse rate register that are initialized with new coefficients every correlator time-slice and are thereafter free-running to yield a pulse phase every sample time. Each timer can be used to form a pulse gate that uses the validity channel to gate the data of one or more channels independently or in tandem. More detail is given in section 4.2.

2.2.12. Bus Interface

The Station Module contains a 32-bit VSB bus interface (VME Subsystem Bus 1986) for allowing an external CPU to control all on-board functions and read out all data. The VSB bus can accommodate from two to six slots and multiple VSB busses can be installed in one VME (sub-rack) backplane. This architecture offered considerable performance flexibility when it came to choosing how many Station Modules would be controlled by one external CPU board — an important consideration in ensuring that the real-time response requirements of the Station Module could be met.

2.2.13. *Gbit/s Multiplexers*

The data (16 bits), data-valid (8 bits), phase (8 bits), delay (1 bit), and 1 Hz + 1 kHz frame clock (1 bit) signals of the Station Module make up 34 parallel bit-streams clocked at the sample rate. The function of each Gbit/s Multiplexer is to convert the bit streams to a single serial Gbit/s signal for connection via Gbit/s switches (Serial Distribution Modules - see below) to the downstream Baseline Modules. Since data from each Station Module is now on one or two thin cables, they can easily be routed through the system. Converting these data to high-speed serial format before transmission is a step of vital practical importance since it greatly reduces wiring complexity, and hence the size and cost of the entire system. Either one or two Gbit/s Multiplexer units are installed, depending on the anticipated data-rate requirements of the system. This circuitry was installed as a daughter module so that obsolescence of the ‘single-source’ multiplexer chip would not require a complete re-design of the Station and Baseline Modules.

2.3. **Serial Distribution Module (SDM)**

The function of the SDM is to provide flexible routing of the data from the Station Modules to the Baseline Modules. The SDM is implemented on a standard size 6U VME card, and contains a 16-input to 32-output, unidirectional, 1 Gbit/s cross-bar switch, which is controlled through the VME bus by an external CPU module. Because of the high data rates on the signal lines of this card, careful attention to layout and design is necessary. All Gbit/s inputs and outputs to the switch are carried on ~ 3 mm diameter ‘twin-ax’ cable. Input connection is made through the front panel using 3 pin, 0.100” twin-ax connectors and each one carries an AC-coupled differential ECL signal. This method provides a convenient disconnect point and a compact design, while maintaining control of impedance. The 16x32 cross-bar switch is actually made of two 16x16 cross-bar chips. Inputs to the module are attenuated through a 3 dB pad, terminated, and then split into equidistant unterminated transmission lines to the two chips. This configuration produces balancing reflections such that the signal at the unterminated inputs of the chips is almost ideal. A 16x32 cross-bar configuration was chosen so that only one SDM module is required in each baseline subrack, each one being fed by multiple Station Modules routed through a high speed fanout amplifier.

2.4. Baseline Module

The Baseline Module (Fig. 7) is where final cross-correlation occurs. Each Baseline Module takes the data, data validity, timing, and models from two Station Modules (station X , and station Y), and performs the fine delay (Vernier Delay) and Doppler shift correction (fringe stopping) before performing the final cross-correlation. The module is implemented on a VME standard size 6U x 400 mm circuit board and occupies one VME slot. It has dual 32-bit bus interfaces, a VMEbus interface and a VSB bus interface. The board is configured via the VMEbus and correlation coefficients can be read out on either bus. With a dual bus configuration it is possible to configure a given system for a range of performance capabilities based on the dump time and spectral channel requirements. A low performance system could use one CPU per rack and readout via the VMEbus whereas a high performance system could use multiple CPUs in a rack each connected to one or more Baseline Modules via multiple, independent VSB busses.

2.4.1. Gbit/s Demultiplexers

Data from the X and Y stations enters the Baseline Modules in high speed serial form. The original data streams are re-created by 1-Gbit/s serial-to-parallel data demultiplexer modules, one for the X station input and one for the Y station input. The output of these modules goes to 512 deep synchronous FIFO RAM buffers allowing the incoming timing to be phase synchronized to the on-board timing, which is in turn synchronized to the System Clock (section 2.6). These FIFOs eliminate the need for delay-path matching through the Gbit/s distribution and switching system and permit wide physical separation of modules in a large system. The depth of the FIFO RAM buffers and the sample clock rate determines the amount of delay-path mismatch that can be tolerated in this configuration.

2.4.2. X and Y Data Switches

X and Y data switches allow any of eight X -input sample channels to be correlated with any of eight Y -input sample channels. They also expand the 1-bit compressed phase streams for each of the 16 input channels to the 4-bit phase streams required for final fringe stopping at each lag.

Fig. 6.— Example of a synthetic autocorrelation spectrum. The spectrum was obtained by sweeping over 512 lags, integrating for 10 ms per lag point. This was repeated for 50 times to provide a total integration time of 256 s. Narrowband interference at the center of the band is clearly visible.

Fig. 7.— Simplified Baseline Module block diagram. The main data paths are shown as thick black lines. The P1 and P2 connectors are used for the dual (VME/VSB) bus interfaces and the system clock (section 2.6). Data coming from the high speed serial demultiplexers on the left are synchronized to the system clock using FIFOs. Synchronized data from the FIFOs are switched to one of several primary cross-correlators or are routed through the secondary lag chain. The precomputation delay FIFOs can optionally be inserted into the data path. The functions of the Vernier Delay, Phase Modifier, and the Phase Residual Coefficient generator are explained in the text.

2.4.3. Vernier Delay and Phase Modifier

The delay between the sample streams from two stations cannot be matched perfectly by the insertion of integer-sample delays. Since the station-based X and Y delays can be corrected only to ± 0.5 sample-interval each, the baseline-based difference can be as large as ± 1.0 sample-interval when subtracted on the Baseline Module. (Note that the subtraction cannot be done on the Station Modules because both station delays are not available together.) The function of the Vernier Delay generator is to take the high order bits of the result of the $X - Y$ delay subtraction and use them to correct the baseline-based delay to ± 0.5 sample-interval. The Vernier Delay equation is:

$$VD = \begin{cases} +1 & \text{if } [(\tau_x - \tau_y) \bmod 1.0] > 0.5 \\ -1 & \text{if } [(\tau_x - \tau_y) \bmod 1.0] < -0.5, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

where τ_x and τ_y are X and Y -station delays in samples, and a +1 delay indicates that one sample-interval of delay is inserted in the Y -station data path.

Because of the residual delay error of ± 0.5 samples, there will be a non-zero phase-slope across the frequency band at any instant (Thompson *et al.* 1986). Also, because the phase slope changes during an integration period, there will be an unavoidable loss of coherence. At a particular baseband frequency the phase is undergoing a sawtooth function of time – a sawtooth step occurring when there is an integral change in baseline delay, the amplitude of the sawtooth being proportional to baseband frequency. At zero frequency the amplitude of the sawtooth is zero. The frequency at which the amplitude of the sawtooth is zero can be shifted by applying an appropriate sawtooth cancelling function for that frequency, referred to in this paper as the frequency of zero phase-error. (Only at this frequency is there no loss of coherence.) The minimum loss of coherence occurs when the frequency of zero phase-error is at the center of the band. This is implemented as a combination of a station-based phase slope (equation 1) and phase jumps produced by the Phase Modifier. The Phase Modifier equation is:

$$\phi_{offset} = \frac{2\pi}{SF} \text{round}(\tau_x - \tau_y), \quad (4)$$

where SF is defined here as the “sampling factor”. $SF = 2f_s/B$, B is the baseband bandwidth, and $\text{round}()$ is the rounding function to the nearest integral sample of delay. The frequency of zero phase-error is at f_s/SF . Normally, VLBI data is Nyquist sampled, and $SF = 4$. However, if oversampled data is taken, then for two times (2X) oversampling, $SF = 8$; for 4X oversampling, $SF = 16$. Oversampled data is sometimes taken in spectral line observations where the total bandwidth is small. $SF = \infty$ produces zero phase-error at

zero frequency. If, in equation (4), the Phase Modifier is set so that zero phase-error occurs at the center of the Nyquist sampled band (i.e. $SF = 4$), τ_x and τ_y must contain two bits of integer-delay information so that the four Phase Modifier states ($0, \pi/2, \pi, 3\pi/2$) can be stored. The encoding of these states as delay information is described in section 2.2.1.

2.4.4. Discrete-step Delay-tracking Correction – PRC Generator

As noted above, there will be a loss of coherence due to rapidly changing phase-slopes across the band at all but the frequency of zero phase-error. A gain correction for this effect can be calculated and applied, but the correction also depends upon the data-valid patterns and delay-model changes that occur within an integration period. The data-valid streams can contain long, non-random bursts of errors due to tape-errors modified by ‘barrel-rolling’ in the recorders (Wietfeldt *et al.* 1996).

The Phase Residual Coefficient (PRC) generator calculates a 2-point, complex spectrum of the time series of the discrete-step delay-tracking function, qualified⁶ by the data-valid products. This data is used to correct for the discrete-step delay-tracking loss and resulting residual phase slope in a given integration interval, taking into account arbitrary data valid patterns or delay models. The equation for the PRC coefficients, $P(\Delta f)$ is:

$$P(\Delta f) = \frac{1}{N_{valid}} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{N_{tot}} DV_i \cdot e^{-j2\pi[(\tau_{xi} - \tau_{yi}) \bmod 0.5] \cdot \Delta f}, \quad (5)$$

where N_{tot} and N_{valid} are the total number of samples and the number of valid samples, respectively, in an integration time, DV_i is 0 if the X or Y -station sample is not valid and 1 if both are valid, τ_{xi} and τ_{yi} are X and Y station delays in sample-intervals, and Δf is the frequency difference between the point in the band where P is calculated and the frequency of zero phase-error in units of cycles/sample. Note that $[(\tau_{xi} - \tau_{yi}) \bmod 0.5]$ is the fractional sample delay error. The correlator always calculates P for Δf of $f_s/4$ and $f_s/8$. The magnitude of P indicates the normalized amplitude loss due to discrete-step delay-tracking at that frequency point, and the phase of P indicates the accumulated phase offset. A curve is fitted to three points across the frequency band — the two frequencies indicated above plus the frequency of zero phase-error. (The value of P at the zero phase-error frequency is always 1.0, by definition, and the function is symmetrical about that point.) For the Nyquist-sampled case, the frequency where $\Delta f = f_s/4$ is the band edge; the frequency where $\Delta f = f_s/8$ is half way from the band center to the band edge. The curve is used to

⁶Correlation is performed only when the data-valid signal is true.

modify the output spectrum of the correlator, which has been averaged over an integration time.

Normally there are many baseline delay steps in the correlator integration time, and the phase is uniformly distributed between its peak-to-peak values at any given frequency. In this case the curve is a broad *sinc()* function (Thompson *et al.* 1986) and the magnitude of P is ≈ 0.90 at $\Delta f = f_s/4$ and ≈ 0.96 at $\Delta f = f_s/8$. However, the *precise* value of P is modified by the number of valid samples in the integration time, and the X and Y -station delay model – both of which can be very complicated functions.

The use of the PRC simplifies the system architecture since baseline delay models (which can be very complex on space baselines) are not needed for the calculation, and data validity is already taken into account. Additionally, the PRC coefficients could be used to perform fractional sample correction by dumping at a high rate, Fourier transforming, and then applying the corrections (although this functionality is not performed in the correlator in real-time) since PRC coefficients are always saved with each corresponding correlator data record.

2.4.5. Precomputation Delay FIFOs

Dual programmable precomputation delay FIFOs in the X and Y data paths permit a particular Baseline Module to be part of a much longer equivalent lag chain by inserting a digital delay offset before correlation. The offset is adjusted so that each Baseline Module in the chain correlates at lag values contiguous to the adjacent Baseline Modules. If these FIFOs are active, the 10 bits of X and Y delay information are fed through them along with data and phase values, before being used for Vernier Delay, Phase Modifier, and PRC generation. Ideally, these corrections would be applied at each lag value, but it is practical to apply them only at the end of a chain of lags. By applying them at the end of each lag segment (equivalent to the lag range on a single Baseline Module), the errors are limited. The use of precomputation delay has been used in other XF correlator implementations (Whitney 1982).

2.4.6. Correlator Lag Modules

Each Baseline Module contains a Primary and a Secondary Correlator Lag Module (CLM). These are daughter boards that plug into the main correlator board and contain only correlator chips. Each CLM contains an array of sixteen correlator chips, each with

sixteen complex lags. If installed in the primary daughter board position, the CLM can perform up to 16 independent cross-correlations or can be chained in various combinations to provide more lag channels for fewer cross-correlations. The Secondary CLM can be used only with all chips chained together and only if chained with the primary CLM. Its function is to increase from 256 to 512 the number of complex lag channels that a particular board can produce⁷. Correlator chips are mounted on daughter modules to ease routing requirements, and to permit a more compact assembly of circuit boards.

Fig. 8 is an example of the output of the baseline module, and in fact, the entire correlator.

2.5. Correlator Chip Architecture

Each chip is a 16 complex-lag cross-correlator. The chip contains necessary circuitry for Vernier Delay correction, Phase Modification, Doppler shift correction (fringe stopping), and cross-correlation. The correlator multipliers are 4-level reduced-product-table multipliers⁸ that support both 2 and 3 level multiplication. The accumulators are full 32-bit non-truncated accumulators.

Unlike ‘conventional’ lag-based VLBI correlator chips, where fringe rotation is performed on the X or Y station data at one end of the lag chain, this one carries the phase of the data along with it through the lag chain and performs fringe rotation at each lag. This technique permits many correlator chips to be chained without any smearing of the correlation (see below). This technique adds only slightly to the size of the chip, while maintaining the basis of simplicity of the XF correlator design, the ‘step and repeat’ architecture. The method also inherently removes Doppler shift in the signal due to antenna motion relative to the chosen reference location (normally the geocenter). The details are given below.

A data validity counter is provided for each lag, thus permitting normalization of accumulator data to be carried out for every lag. Every accumulator is double buffered so that data from the previous accumulation interval can be read out while correlator processing continues. Fig. 9 shows the critical functional architecture of the correlator chip.

⁷The number of complex spectral channels is always half the number of complex lag channels in a cross-correlator.

⁸By omitting some low level cross-products, multiplier hardware is significantly reduced, yet there is negligible impact on the result (Thompson *et al.* 1986).

Fig. 8.— A simple example of ‘sky’ fringes produced by the correlator. (a) the raw values of real and imaginary amplitude versus lag for one dump, (b) the Fourier transformed magnitude and phase versus frequency for the data in (a), and (c) phase versus time for about 15 minutes of correlator dumps and magnitude versus frequency, incoherently averaged over the same time period.

The chip also contains logic (not shown) to allow it to be used independently or to be connected to adjacent chips in a lag chain.

By inspection of Fig. 9, the amplitudes of the in-phase component of the output of the correlator at a particular lag, l , is:

$$I_{XY}(\tau_{lx}-\tau_{ly}) = \frac{1}{N_{\tau_{lx}-\tau_{ly}}} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{N_{tot}} DV_{t_i-\tau_{lx}} DV_{t_i-\tau_{ly}} X_{t_i-\tau_{lx}} Y_{t_i-\tau_{ly}} \cos[\phi_x(t_i-\tau_{lx}) - \phi_y(t_i-\tau_{ly})], \quad (6)$$

where τ_{lx} and τ_{ly} take on positive values of lag (referred to the X and Y inputs, respectively, of the correlator delay lines (Fig. 9)), $N_{\tau_{lx}-\tau_{ly}}$ is the number of valid data samples out of the total N_{tot} at the given delay, $DV_{t_i-\tau_{lx}}$ is 1 if the data is valid and 0 if it is not valid, $X_{t_i-\tau_{lx}}$ and $Y_{t_i-\tau_{ly}}$ are the signals after delay has been removed to within ± 0.5 sample intervals (section 2.4.3) and take on values of ± 3 and ± 1 for 4 level correlation and ± 3 for 2 level correlation, the \cos function (\sin for the quadrature version) is approximated with 3 levels (Thompson *et al.* 1986), and $\phi_x(t_i - \tau_{lx})$ and $\phi_y(t_i - \tau_{ly})$ are the X and Y fringe-rotator phases at the given lag corresponding to τ_{lx} and τ_{ly} , respectively. These are the *model phases* that were initially computed on the Station Modules (section 2.2.2), and embedded with the data.

Equation (6) can be written as an idealized, long-term average, as shown in Equation (7). This equation has also been simplified by dropping the data valid qualifiers and making the variable change, $t'_i = t_i - \tau_{max}/2 + \tau_l/2$, where τ_{max} is the length of the delay line, and τ_l is the relative delay of the samples on the X and Y delay lines, referred to the center of the delay line. In the more succinct complex form,

$$R_{XY}(\tau_l) = I_{XY}(\tau_l) + jQ_{XY}(\tau_l) = E\{z_x(t) \cdot z_y^*(t + \tau_l) \cdot e^{-j(\phi_x(t) - \phi_y(t + \tau_l))}\} \quad (7)$$

where R_{XY} is the complex output of the correlator, $E\{\}$ is the expected value over an integration period, z_x and z_y are the complex random processes representing the input signals from the X and Y stations, respectively, the exponential term is the complex equivalent of the cosine term in Equation (6), and the prime has been dropped from t .

$z_x(t)$ is a bandpass process representing the X -station noise signal centered near ω_0 , which can be written in Rice's representation (Papoulis 1991) as $z_x(t) = w_x(t) \cdot e^{j\omega_0 t} \cdot e^{j\hat{\phi}_x(t)}$, where $w_x(t)$ is the random modulation process, and $\hat{\phi}_x(t)$ is the phase imposed by the motion of the station relative to the reference position (*i.e.* Doppler shift), and the drift of the station clock and higher order terms. $\phi_x(t)$ is the model estimate of $\hat{\phi}_x(t)$. (If the band has been shifted to baseband by Local Oscillators, then ω_0 is half the sampled bandwidth, $f_s/4$.) Note that although $w_x(t)$ is assumed to be stationary over an integration period, $z_x(t)$ is a non-stationary process, since its autocorrelation, $R_{zz}(t_1, t_2)$, is time dependent.

Fig. 9.— Simplified correlator chip architecture showing the Vernier Delay, Phase Modifier, and the details of fringe stopping and correlation for each complex-lag. In (a), the architecture of an 8-lag chip is shown – complex-lags are numbered according to the convention set out in equation (6). X-station data and phase enters a delay line on the right of the figure. Y-station data and phase enters another delay line on the left of the figure with the intervening Vernier Delay and Phase Modifier as shown. Z^{-1} is the z-transform of the unit delay (1 sample time). For clarity, logic required to chain multiple chips is not shown. In (b), the architecture of a single complex-lag is illustrated. The *sin* and *cos* blocks produce 3-level approximated outputs which are then mixed with the Y-station data and correlated with the X-station. Each chip in the implementation contains 16 complex lags.

Substituting the above relation for $z_x(t)$ and an analogous one for the Y -station into Equation (7) yields, after some re-arranging of terms:

$$R_{XY}(\tau_l) = E\{w_x(t) \cdot w_y^*(t + \tau_l) \cdot e^{-j\omega_0(t-(t+\tau_l))} \cdot e^{-j(\phi_x(t)-\hat{\phi}_x(t))} \cdot e^{-j(\phi_y(t+\tau_l)-\hat{\phi}_y(t+\tau_l))}\} \quad (8)$$

If the models accurately predict the station phase functions, $\hat{\phi}_x(t)$ and $\hat{\phi}_y(t)$, then $R_{XY}(\tau_l) = e^{-j\omega_0\tau_l} \cdot E\{w_x(t) \cdot w_y(t + \tau_l) \cdot e^{-j\delta\phi_{xy}}\}$, where $\delta\phi_{xy}(t) = \phi_x(t) - \hat{\phi}_x(t) + \phi_y(t) - \hat{\phi}_y(t)$ is a small residual term. The objective of the correlator is to extract $E\{w_x(t) \cdot w_y(t + \tau_l)\}$. The term $e^{-j\omega_0\tau_l}$ is actually accounted for as a simple frequency shift in the output spectrum. Note that this result is independent of the form of $\phi(t)$, as long its variation is sufficiently slow that sampling theory is not violated. Since the phase data is carried along with the station data, the sampling rate is sufficient to handle Doppler shifts equal to the bandwidth, at which point correlation is pointless, since sky frequencies will no longer overlap between stations. The residual phase term, $\delta\phi_{xy}$, must be sufficiently well behaved that the concomitant coherence loss does not fall below the signal-to-noise threshold. Otherwise, fringes will not be detected at all.

As noted above, phase rotation sometimes is placed at the end of the delay line. This practice incurs an error, referred to in this paper as ‘smearing’, which can be evaluated approximately by expanding $\phi(t)$ in a Taylor’s series about t as a function of τ_l : $\epsilon_\phi = \omega_f \cdot \tau_l + \frac{1}{2}\dot{\omega}_f \cdot \tau_l^2 + \dots$, where ω_f is the fringe rate, $\frac{d\phi}{dt}$. Smearing occurs when changes in phase rate, made at one end of the lag chain, occur during the time taken for a data-sample to transit the lag chain, and denotes a lag-dependent loss of coherence. If τ_l is very short, as in continuum observations, or if the fringe rates are slow, as for low frequency ground-based VLBI observations, then this error is tolerably small. Smearing can also be corrected by using sufficiently short integration periods, and then applying a delay-dependent correction to the correlation coefficients. We have elected to avoid this method.

This mode of fringe stopping (where the above *sin* and *cos* functions are approximated by 3 levels and 16 phase steps) was empirically determined to degrade the SNR by $\approx 0.64\%$ as a result of the phase differencing, compared to the conventional XF VLBI fringe stopper that applies phase shifts only to one of the signals at one end of the lag chain. The fringe rotation efficiency is:

$$\eta(n) = \frac{1 + \sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{6}} \cdot \frac{\sin(\frac{\pi}{8})}{\frac{\pi}{8}} \cdot \frac{\sin(\frac{\pi}{2^n})}{\frac{\pi}{2^n}}, \quad (9)$$

where n is the number of phase bits and is set to 4 in the chip implementation. The first $\frac{1+\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{6}} \cdot \frac{\sin(\frac{\pi}{8})}{\frac{\pi}{8}}$ factor is the efficiency for 3 level fringe rotation (Thompson *et al.* 1986), and the second *sinc* factor is due to the phase dithering that occurs when independently rotating quantized phases are differenced. The resulting cross-correlation function has a

constant phase bias of:

$$bias(n) = \pi \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{n-3} 2^{-(3+i)} \quad (10)$$

For $n = 4$ bits, the efficiency is 0.954302 and the constant phase bias is $\frac{\pi}{16}$.

Data-valid accumulations are carried out for each lag in the correlator (Fig. 9) and used to normalize the summations at each lag.

The correlator chip is implemented in a Xilinx “hardwire” FPGA. The large accumulators are, for the most part, implemented in on-chip RAM to allow the equivalent of approximately 30,000 gates of logic to be packed into the device that is marketed as a 13,000 gate FPGA. The hardwire process allowed the design to be tested and refined in the programmable version, and then directly ported, without any design conversion, test vector requirements, or risk normally associated with an ASIC (Application Specific Integrated Circuit), to a fixed program device. This procedure results in a very low “up-front” design cost, known as a non-recurring engineering (NRE) charge. At the same time the cost per device is also relatively low. The fraction of the chip area used by the differential phase rotators at each lag is about 11%. The fraction used by the data-valid accumulators is about 25%.

2.6. System Clock

The System Clock provides the correlator with its timebase. It is designed so that a single signal can synchronize equipment separated over a wide physical area. The clock is implemented in a small module that plugs into the back of a VME backplane. The SYS_CLK signal consists of a 32 MHz reference clock, an embedded 1 kHz tick, an embedded 1 Hz tick, and a 4-bit sequence count that is incremented for every 1 Hz tick. The TTL signal is distributed to Station and Baseline Modules on the VME backplane and is distributed to other subsystems via 50-ohm coaxial cables. Each additional subsystem contains a backplane driver and repeater. Each Station and Baseline Module contains a PLL, which recovers the 32 MHz clock, and circuitry to extract the time ticks and the sequence count. The time ticks are used for synchronizing events on the Station and Baseline Modules. The 4-bit sequence count is used to resolve potential ambiguities between the time-tagging of ticks, effectively guaranteeing that all subsystems are properly synchronized.

3. Software System Architecture

The same basic principles guiding hardware development were also used in the design of the system’s software. The software is modular, and can easily be expanded to support a large distributed correlator system. All communication between different functional software modules is performed using industry standard protocols. This approach was taken to minimize real-time bottlenecks⁹, and to minimize the amount of hardware and software, not specifically related to correlator functionality, that had to be developed.

3.1. Embedded CPUs

Station Modules and Baseline Modules do not, themselves, contain any CPUs — a choice made to eliminate development of a special real-time operating system with all of the required features and to ensure that the system is not tightly coupled to any specific CPU architecture. Real-time control and configuration of these modules (and the SDM switch module) is provided by off-the-shelf, industry standard, CPU modules running the VxWorks operating system. Two types of embedded control software are used — one for control of Station Modules and one for control of Baseline Modules. The CPU that controls Station Modules is the Station Control CPU (SCC) and the CPU that controls Baseline Modules is the Baseline Control CPU (BCC). Both CPU types are capable of controlling one or more SDM switch modules. All communications to host computers on the network are via standard TCP/IP or UDP/IP protocols.

The correlator software can be reconfigured to accept changes in the hardware configuration very quickly. If more Station or Baseline Modules need to be added, or more CPUs are added to the network, the software is configured (by telling a host about the new CPUs and modules so it can configure the SCCs and BCCs), and the modules are connected to each other and existing modules via one or more distributed SDM switch modules. In this way a new correlator can be quickly configured, or an existing one can be expanded or modified.

The SCC contains all of the software modules, drivers, and interrupt handlers for the control of up to five Station Modules. This is a limitation due primarily to the size of the VSB (a VSB backplane contains only six slots). It is also a performance limitation since the CPU can service only five Station Modules every correlator time-slice (*i.e.* interrupt).

⁹Also to allow future CPU performance enhancements with purchased hardware rather than re-designed hardware.

The SCC also contains the software necessary to automatically control each S2 that is connected to an associated Station Module. At system startup, the SCC must be told (by a host computer) which Station Modules are to be controlled — the SCC checks to see if they are available and then builds the required software modules. Once the software is configured, the SCC accepts high-level requests from the Host Computer via RPC (Remote Procedure Call (Corbin 1991)) function calls to start jobs (see section 3.2), process stations, or establish SDM connections. Any status conditions that may need attention are sent as Error, Warning, or Note messages to the Host Computer for logging, automatic intervention or possibly operator intervention. Any data captured from the autocorrelator or data quality accumulators can be saved to local and/or remote NFS (Network File System) mounted disks or other devices.

The BCC is similar in concept to the SCC, as are the procedures for startup. It contains all of the software modules, drivers, and interrupt handlers for the control of up to 16 Baseline Modules (the maximum number of Baseline Modules that can be installed in a given VME backplane). The BCC can save correlation coefficients to large local NFS mounted disks, and/or remote NFS devices.

The SCC and BCC software currently runs on CPU boards with a dual processor architecture: one processor handles all real-time I/O to the communications ports, allowing the other processor to respond to correlator interrupts without fail.

3.2. Host Computer and Correlator Operations

The host computer provides the platform for top-level control of the correlator. There must be at least one host computer, but there may be several in a given system. Each host computer runs one or more tasks (processes) that function to orchestrate the execution of one or more correlation “jobs”, where a job is typically a single VLBI experiment.

There can be up to 8 independent correlator jobs active at any given time in the system. A correlation job is created by building a set of batch files (written in a batch language called “C3L”) and compiling them into a form ready for execution by the host process. These batch files contain all information required to process a job, including definitions of time ranges, channel configurations, baselines, and cross-correlations that are to be processed. A job is run by an operator under the control of the graphical user interface (GUI). Once the job is started, the host process obtains the physical resources (Station Modules, Baseline Modules) it needs from the Correlator Resource Server process (a *single*, unique process running on any host computer on the network), builds the

necessary high-level requests, and then sends the requests to the embedded SCCs and BCCs for processing.

3.3. Graphical User Interface (GUI)

The GUI is the primary interface to the human operator during correlation. The GUI displays messages coming from the embedded CPUs, displays status and time information, and displays prompts for the operator to install and remove tapes from S2s as required. The correlator design emphasizes pre-correlation decision making. Thus, the functionality of the GUI is very simple and a relatively unskilled operator can run correlation jobs in response to instructions from the GUI. The GUI provides the following simple facilities:

- **Start** a job — signals the Host Computer to begin execution of the job from the very beginning.
- **Kill** a job — signals the Host Computer to cease execution of the job and free up all physical resources used by the job.
- **Restart** a job at a **specific UT time** — tells the Host Computer to load a *.cxe* file (section 3.4) and start job execution at a specific time. This is normally used for testing.
- **Restart** a job from the **log file** — tells the host to load in a *.cxe* file and a corresponding *.log* file and begin execution at an appropriate time. This is used primarily to recover from an unusual exception condition such as a power failure or system crash.
- **Kill** specified station or baseline processing — allows the processing of individual stations or baselines to be terminated.
- **Change delay and delay rate** — allows the operator to modify the delay model on a given station in real-time.
- **Operator action monitor** window — contains messages that require specific operator action such as installation or removal of tapes.
- **Job monitor window** — displays the current time, which stations are active and tracking delay, and messages that are generated by the embedded SCC and BCC processors. In addition to visual monitoring, audio messages are generated when tapes have to be mounted or when there are exceptions that require operator intervention.

In addition to the GUI, it is possible to obtain more detailed information about the correlator and S2s by remotely logging (*rlogin*) into SCCs, BCCs, or S2s and executing

some simple commands. For example, the automatic control of the S2s can be manually overridden by fast-forwarding or rewinding the tapes on the S2 console, but this not normally required.

3.4. C3L

C3L is a batch control language that is specifically designed for programming the operation of the correlator. The language contains a number of keywords that allow the various functional blocks of the Station and Baseline Modules to be configured and operated in a high-level fashion. There are 4 types of C3L batch files: job files to describe job wide parameters, station files to describe station parameters, event files to describe time sequenced station events, and finally, baseline files to explicitly define baseline correlations (including full as opposed to synthetic autocorrelations) that are to be performed. For each correlator job there is one job and one baseline file, and a station and event file for each station in the job. The following text is an example of a complete station file for a simple experiment:

```
station_name = "AT"
event_file = "AT.ev" /* event file for the station */
file_size = 5000
storage_loc = "/usr/local/save"

ch1_out: lo_freqs = 4930.0e6 connect = pt_ch(0,1,0) sideband = USB
        coding = ADC_VLBA channel_name = "CH1_L" valid = TAPE*pgate1

ch2_out: lo_freqs = 4946.0e6 connect = pt_ch(2,3,2) sideband = USB
        coding = ADC_VLBA channel_name = "CH2_L" valid = TAPE*pgate1

sstat1: connect = ch1_out stat_int = 5.0 valid_count=GATE*PGATE
        save=ON
sstat2: connect = ch2_out stat_int = 5.0 valid_count = GATE*PGATE
        save=ON

tonex_1: connect = ch1_out tone_freqs = 4.0e6
        valid_count = GATE*PGATE tone_int = 5.0 save = ON
tonex_2: connect = ch2_out tone_freqs = 4.0e6
        valid_count = GATE*PGATE tone_int = 5.0 save=ON

auto_corr: auto_lags = 256 auto_int = 1.0 connect=ch1_out,ch2_out
        valid_count = GATE*PGATE,GATE*PGATE save=ON

playback: tape_id = "ATNF0033" sname = "1354-174"
        position = 00:00:00
        models = "AT_g.sm", "AT_c.sm" /* station model files */
        utstart = 1998-030-15:29:30 utstop = 1998-030-15:52:52
```

In the example, two channels are active, the sky frequencies are 4930 and 4946 MHz, quantizer statistics accumulation and phase-cal extraction are turned on, the synthetic autocorrelator is turned on for 256 lags and a 1.0 second integration time, and there is one tape playback from 15:29:30 to 15:52:52.¹⁰

When executed, the C3L compiler reads the batch files, checks for consistency and errors, and compiles them into a “correlator executable” file (this file is XDR-encoded so that it is transportable across computer platforms¹¹). The compiler determines when baselines (explicitly defined in the baseline file) can be processed, given station playbacks starting and stopping at different times and perhaps on different sources (at different times) in the station files. Only those playbacks that overlap in time and that are on the same source will be correlated. This provides considerable flexibility to automatically and in a single correlator pass perform any defined correlations (including redundant correlations) between any antennas pointing at like sources at any times during the experiment. The correlator executable file is subsequently used directly by a Host Computer control process to run the job.

In addition to the C3L compiler, there are several programs that facilitate reading and collating PCFS (MKIV/PC Field System – Himwich and Vandenberg 1996) or Space Radio Telescope (SRT) format log files (*slog2cje*), building all of the skeleton C3L and associated model build files from the collated log file data (*cje2c3l*), and finally, performing an exhaustive pre-run time consistency check on the correlator executable file (*cxelint*) so that any errors that could occur during correlation are caught ahead of time. If proper log files are available (or are created from accurate anecdotal information) it is a very simple procedure to produce a final executable file that is ready for correlator execution.

3.5. Delay Model

The correlator requires an ‘a priori’ mathematical model of the wavefront delay encountered during an observation, relative to an agreed reference point. This delay is inserted as a function of time into the data path for each station before cross-correlation. Typically, the model computes the motion of each station-antenna, and any propagation effects that can be modelled, such as the atmosphere, and in the case of space VLBI,

¹⁰There are default values to many variables not shown — one of these is ‘quantization’ which defaults to 4 levels. If 2-level quantization were required, then the assignment ‘quantization=2’ would have to be in the `chn_out: field`.

¹¹XDR is the industry standard eXternal Data Representation (Corbin 1991)).

propagation errors through the space-ground telemetry system. In some applications it could include motion of the radio source itself. The delay model may not be complete, but it must be accurate enough to guarantee that fringes will be within range of the correlator’s search window. Although not strictly a delay model, a model of the clock offsets for each VLBI station is included in the same way.

In this correlator the model can be as simple as a *null* model or as complex as the model required to describe the motion of a space antenna and all its known corrections. For ground-based VLBI the delay model is built from the program, CALC (Ryan and Ma 1979). For space-based antennas, the delay model is built using data from the NAIF (Lynch 1992) program, which outputs position and velocity data for the spacecraft, based upon Doppler navigation measurements. Up to eight model files, each containing a component of an overall model, can be merged (*i.e.* merging occurs at run-time in the SCC).

The models are prepared in advance of correlation, mainly using programs that interface to the CALC and NAIF software. These station model files may contain either polynomials or delay points. Polynomial files are used for most modeling applications and the software allows up to 7th order polynomials to be defined over a user-defined time range. Delay point files are used in the space VLBI case to correct for the small but relatively rapid variations in delay resulting from short term delay errors in the link from the tracking station to the orbiting satellite¹². The delay points are interpolated to times which are aligned with the 10 ms epochs, so that a simple linear interpolation can be used for merging with the polynomial data in the correlator.

At run time, the Station Control CPU reads the polynomial and delay-point data from the XDR-encoded station model files, and for every correlator time-slice forms a point-slope interpolation that is fitted (least square, zero bias) to the merged polynomial and delay-point data. These point-slope values are used to set the delay and fringe-tracking synthesizer hardware to the correct values at that instant in time. The synthesizer hardware is then free-running until it is updated for the next correlator time-slice.

¹²A timing link file is generated by a tracking station. This file is used to produce two station model files: the first one contains polynomial fits (least squares) to nominally 10 seconds of data; the second contains delay points that are the *residuals* between the polynomial and the original timing link data — these delay residuals are typically about one or two picoseconds in amplitude.

3.6. Correlator Output Data Format

The raw data records produced by the correlator are saved in XDR-encoded data files. Each record contains all of the identification information and raw correlation coefficients required to make it usable for further processing on a variety of computers. This data is archived to tape and subsequently ‘exported’ to UVFITS format (Diamond *et al.* 1997) for external distribution.

4. Specialized Signal Processing Functions

The correlator contains several special signal-processing functions that extend its basic capability beyond a simple VLBI correlator for continuum observations. This includes frequency switching for geodetic applications, pulsar gating, fast (1 ms) dumping, interpolation for sample rate translation, and ‘zoom mode’ for high resolution spectral-line processing.

4.1. Frequency Switching

This function is implemented to support frequency-switched bandwidth-synthesis observations planned for the Canadian S2 Geodetic VLBI program (Petrachenko *et al.* 1993). Each correlator channel (up to four will be used in the Canadian program) can be assigned up to 16 unique states (where each state corresponds to a different LO frequency) and dwell times. Each channel can switch through these 16 states in a sequence of arbitrary length, defined by the user in an external ASCII file. As the states are sequenced by the correlator, quantizer statistics, phase-cal tone data, and autocorrelation data is acquired and binned into separate outputs for each state of each channel. Since raw correlation coefficients are not binned in this way, the correlator must be dumped synchronously with each change in state. The correlator data are then sorted when they are exported to UVFITS.

4.2. Pulsar Gating and Fast Dumping

The correlator has two independently programmable pulsar timers for each station. Each one can be programmed with a completely independent epoch (pulse start time), pulse period, and rate of change of pulse period. The gate “on time” (pulse width) can

be programmed to an accuracy of $\pm 0.05\%$ of the pulse period. Each timer generates a gate which is used to qualify (i.e. logically ‘AND’) the appropriate data-valid signals. Use of pulsar gating to restrict correlation of data only during the time that the pulse is ‘on’ significantly increases the SNR of the resulting cross-power function. Pulsar gating can also be used for other applications where it is desired to blank the data at specific and regular repeating intervals. One of these applications is in frequency switching, where it is used to blank the data during the Local Oscillator settling time in the data acquisition system.

Normally the correlator performs all model updates and coefficient readouts on 10 ms boundaries synchronized to the 1 Hz UTC tick. However, it is also possible to perform these functions on 1 ms boundaries by restricting the available hardware that the embedded CPUs must access every millisecond. This is achieved by modifying the configuration files that the Correlator Resource Server reads when it boots the system to include only one Baseline Module per embedded CPU. In this mode, and used in conjunction with pulsar gating (with a $\leq 25\%$ duty cycle), it is possible to dump 128 complex-lags per CPU per ms¹³. These lags can be assigned to one complete cross-correlation, or they can be part of a longer lag chain. Currently, there are six embedded baseline CPUs in the correlator – thus it is possible to dump 768 lags every millisecond. This fast dump mode is useful for studying pulse-to-pulse variations of strong pulsars on VLBI baselines (Cohen and Cronyn 1974) (Narayan and Goodman 1989) and was first suggested (for use in this correlator) as a means to de-disperse pulsar data to achieve better SNR. Some of the pulsar features that can be studied on VLBI baselines are integrated pulse profiles, single pulse profiles, pulse-to-pulse dispersion, pulse-to-pulse spectra, and, by using a second gate and correlating several passes to effectively achieve < 1 ms dumping, pulse microstructure. Fig. 10 is an example of fast dump pulsar data (Del Rizzo 1999).

4.3. Interpolation for Sample Rate Translation

This capability allows data recorded by different stations at different (but related) sample rates to be cross-correlated. The method performs zero-insertion interpolation (Crochiere and Rabiner 1983) on all sample streams that are less than the maximum rate so that the resulting sample streams are all at the same sample rate. The correlator’s fringe rotators are then used to shift the signal in select sample streams to the correct frequency (in addition to the fringe rotation required to remove antenna motion Doppler shift) before

¹³If the integration time is increased, then 128 lags are available for every additional 1 ms of integration time.

Fig. 10.— An example of fast dump pulsar data produced with 1 ms dumping and a 16 MHz bandwidth. The pulsar (PSR B1641-45 observed with the Tidbinbilla DSN 70 m and the Australia Telescope Compact Array) has a pulse period of about 0.45 seconds and a pulse width of about 20 ms dispersed over 16 MHz of bandwidth. (a) shows a contour plot of baseband frequency versus time. The dispersion of the pulse with frequency is clearly visible. (b) is a slice at a particular lag (delay) through the 3-D surface plot of amplitude vs time and lag. The single-pulse profile is clear, and, since the gate was set to 60 ms, the off-pulse noise level is fairly well established. It is important to note in (b) that the data has not been de-dispersed before obtaining the pulse profile, but this is entirely possible (to within the smear limit imposed by the 1 ms integration time) since 256 complex frequency channels were acquired on each dump.

cross-correlation. For example, X -station data recorded in two 8 MHz basebands can be correlated with Y -station data recorded in one 16 MHz baseband. Both, of course, must originate from the same band of sky frequencies. The practical use of this capability is to permit VLBI observations between observatories which have developed baseband converter hardware for different bandwidths and numbers of baseband channels.

Fig. 11 illustrates how this is done. The X -station data consists of two Nyquist sampled baseband signals that are one-half the width of the Y -station data. Inserting a zero between every other sample produces the **X1** and **X2** X -station spectra shown in Fig. 11. These must be cross-correlated with the **Y** signal (shown for clarity as two bands the same width as the X station bands). The **X1** signal simply cross-correlates with the **Y** signal to produce a cross-power spectrum that appears to have originated from data oversampled by a factor of two. The **X2** signal can be cross-correlated with the like part of the band in the **Y** signal by either shifting the **X2** signal up by half the band, or by shifting the **Y** signal down by half the band. This is done by offsetting the frequency of the X or Y -station fringe rotator by the appropriate amount, independently of the geometric Doppler model.

Fig. 11.— The X -station signal before and after zero insertion and the Y -station full band signal — **X1** and **X2** signals are separately correlated with the like part of the **Y** signal to obtain a full cross-correlation result (in two parts).

4.4. “Zoom Mode” for High Resolution Spectral-Line Processing

The motivation for designing a “zoom mode” was to provide the correlator with very high spectral-line resolution on a sub-band of the original signal within the constraints of the available correlator hardware. Zoom mode signal processing consists of prefiltering the data through an FIR (Finite Impulse Response) bandpass filter, decimating it to a lower sample rate, re-quantizing it (which incurs an additional loss in sensitivity of 12%) and then cross-correlating the result to yield a spectrum of the signal that has a much lower bandwidth, and therefore a much higher spectral resolution than the same number of correlator channels applied to the unfiltered signal. Keeping the design of this mode simple places a restriction on the characteristics of the bandpass filter — namely that the filter passband can be chosen to be only an integer submultiple of the total bandwidth. This restriction is necessary because the process of decimating the filtered signal is what actually translates the narrow sub-band to baseband.

Fig. 12 illustrates the process for a zoom factor of 4, choosing the 3rd ‘frequency-slot’ bandpass. Some frequency-slots will produce a lower sideband spectrum at baseband. If desired, these can be converted to upper sideband by using the correlator’s fringe rotator

Fig. 12.— 4X zoom-mode processing. (a) is the original sampled signal. Since it is sampled at the Nyquist rate, images of the baseband signal repeat in frequency. (b) is the signal after being bandpass filtered by the FIR filter. (c) is the spectrum of the decimating function (i.e. the new sample rate, f'_s). Once the filter function is convolved with the decimating function, the result is shown in (d). This is a baseband signal at the new Nyquist rate of f'_s . This signal is then re-quantized (resulting in an SNR penalty of 12%) and correlated to yield a result that has a factor of four improvement in spectral resolution over the normal unfiltered case.

Fig. 13.— 32X zoom mode example. In (a) there are two maser lines — the one in the middle of the band is unresolved and the one at the upper edge of the band is partially resolved. (b) shows the line in the middle of the band using a 32X zoom factor with a displayed bandwidth equal to about the width of the line in (a). The result in (b) clearly shows detailed structure that otherwise could not be obtained with available lag hardware.

to shift the signal by $\frac{f'_s}{2}$. The real advantage of zoom mode becomes evident with zoom factors of 8, 16, and 32, resulting in an improvement in resolution that would be expensive to achieve by simply increasing the number of correlator lags. Zoom mode is especially useful for line observations in the VSOP Space VLBI mission due to the wide (16 MHz) fixed bands available on the satellite — a restriction resulting from the need to minimize the launch vehicle payload.

The disadvantage of the simple method described above is that it is possible for the spectral line of interest to fall ‘between the cracks’ of the available zoom-filter bands and therefore be impossible to process. Normally, this is not a problem since the line can be positioned by offsetting the LOs of the observing antennas so that it does not fall, for example, at the exact center of the recording band. Alternatively, it is possible to use a different decimating factor that allows coverage of these cracks while still staying within the constraints of the correlator’s acceptable clock rates. For example, a factor of 25 achieves this but is not currently implemented in the correlator.

Fig. 13 is an example of how zoom mode has resolved a maser line with no additional correlator hardware.

4.4.1. Zoom Mode Implementation

The primary component of zoom mode is the digital filter that performs the bandpass function. It is a 95-tap linear-phase FIR filter implemented in a Xilinx FPGA. This FPGA implementation was chosen because it contains a large array of Configurable Logic Blocks (CLBs) that can be configured as 16xN RAM. The 16xN RAM is ideal for VLBI data since each RAM block can implement a 2-bit, 2-tap partial sum of products in a lookup table. A RAM size of 16x8 was chosen, which results in 8-bit outputs¹⁴ from the 48 RAM blocks containing the partial sums of products. These 48, 8-bit numbers are summed in an adder tree, the result of which is finally re-quantized to 2 bits – incurring a 12% loss of sensitivity. The performance requirements of the adder tree are reduced significantly since it must operate only at the decimated sample rate f'_s rather than the original sample rate f_s . The FIR filter coefficients are generated using the ‘Meteor’ constraint-based FIR filter design program (Steiglitz *et al.* 1992). The final RAM lookup table coefficients are prepared, written to files, and made available for loading by correlator software at run-time as required.

5. A Summary of the Implemented System

A modest size correlator system has been constructed at the Dominion Radio Astrophysical Observatory near Penticton, British Columbia. It is fully operational and has the following hardware modules, configuration, and performance capabilities:

- There are 10 Station Modules controlled by 2 CPUs in a single standard VME card cage. Only six Station Modules are currently connected to S2s (i.e. the system is populated with only six S2-PTs). Each Station Module contains one zoom FIR filter.
- There are 48 Baseline Modules controlled by 6 CPUs in 3 standard VME card cages. There are 2 CPUs in each card cage and each CPU has VME bus access to all 16 Baseline Modules in its rack and VSB bus access to its nearest 4 Baseline Modules. Each Baseline Module is populated with 2 CLMs for a total of 512 complex-lags. Each Baseline Module’s primary CLM and data switch is set for a granularity of 32 complex-lags — thereby allowing up to 8 cross-correlations of 32 complex-lags each to be performed. Baseline Modules can be chained in various ways to form longer lag chains but the maximum lag chain length is 16384.
- Each X or Y input of each Baseline Module can be connected to any Station Module.

¹⁴8-bit quantization was chosen at this stage since the requantization loss is negligible. In addition, simulation indicated that using more bits did not yield an improvement in the filter performance.

- Each CPU is connected to its own dedicated 4 Gbyte hard drive and the maximum dump rate to each hard drive is about 200 kbytes/sec¹⁵. Thus, the maximum aggregate dump rate is about 1.2 Mbytes/sec for 5.6 hours. (The maximum dump rate to a remote NFS device is somewhat less and depends on the performance of the remote device.) Each CPU is connected by a dedicated 10 Mbps Ethernet¹⁶ to a central switch which is connected by a 100 Mbps Fast-Ethernet to an Ultra-Sparc computer used for UVFITS export, raw data archiving, and data analysis.
- There is one Sun4 host computer that provides the user interface and performs quasi-real-time control of the correlator.
- The correlator time-slice can be configured for either 1 ms or 10 ms timing mode. Normally, 10 ms timing mode is used — all interrupts, delay model, fringe model, and time updates occur every 10 ms and data can be dumped at most once every 10 ms. One ms timing mode is used only if dumping is to occur at intervals less than 10 ms.
- In 10 ms timing mode, all modules are on-line and each CPU can dump about 16000 complex-lags/sec if the dump time is ≥ 100 ms, and about 33000 complex-lags/sec if the dump time is < 100 ms.¹⁷
- In 1 ms timing mode, the system is normally configured so that each CPU is dumping data from one Baseline Module. If $< 25\%$ duty cycle pulsar gating is used, then each CPU can dump 128 complex-lags/ms. The system can be configured so that each Baseline Module is only a small fraction of a large lag chain. In this configuration up to 768 complex-lags can be dumped every millisecond. If no gating is used, each CPU can dump 32 complex-lags/ms and the maximum lag-chain length is 192 complex-lags.
- The correlator can operate at sample rates from 125 kHz to 32 MHz in doubling increments. Sample rates below 4 MHz would normally be used only in zoom mode after decimation (i.e. since the minimum S2 data rate is 4 Msample/s). With 8 channels of 32 Msamples/s, 2 bits wide, the inherent, overall bandwidth of the correlator, itself, is 512 Mbit/s (four times wider than the S2 recorder).

¹⁵This is a CPU performance limitation — the Baseline Module design and dual bus architecture allows about a factor of 40 better than this.

¹⁶VxWorks implementation of NFS on 10 Mbps Ethernet provides a maximum data transfer rate of about 120 kbytes/sec.

¹⁷If dump times are ≥ 100 ms, data is XDR encoded and normalized as previously described. If dump times are < 100 ms, raw data is saved and only one data valid counter of the lag chain is used to normalize the data, yielding an increase in speed.

The authors wish to thank the Canadian Space Agency for providing funding for the development and operation of the correlator. We also acknowledge the continued support of the Herzberg Institute of Astrophysics of the National Research Council of Canada, the Space Geodynamics Laboratory of the Center for Research in Earth and Space Technology, and the Geodetic Survey Division of Natural Resources Canada. Finally, we wish to acknowledge the vision and commitment of the Institute of Space and Astronautical Science of Japan and agencies representing all participating ground tracking stations and radio telescopes for making the VSOP mission, and our contribution to it, possible.

REFERENCES

- Cannon, W. H., Baer, D., Feil, G., Feir, B., Newby, P., Novikov, A., Dewdney, P., Carlson, B., Petrachenko, W. T., Popelar, J., Mathieu, P., & Wietfeldt, R. D. 1997, *Vistas in Astronomy*, Vol. 41, No. 2, 297
- Cohen, H. H., & Cronyn, W. M. 1974, *ApJ*, 192, 193
- Corbin, J. R. 1991, *The Art of Distributed Applications*, Springer-Verlag, New York
- Crochiere, R. E., & Rabiner, T. R. 1983, *Multirate Digital Signal Processing*, Prentice-Hall, New Jersey
- Del Rizzo, D. A., In Prep. 1999
- Diamond, P. J., Benson, J., Cotton, W. D., Wells, D. C., Romney, J. D., & Hunt, G. 1997
FITS Format for Interferometry Data Interchange, VLBA Correlator Memo No. 108
- Hagen, J. B., & Farley, D. T. 1973, *Radio Science*, 8, 775
- Himwich, W. E., & Vandenberg, N. R., *SNAP Commands – Operations Manual* 1996,
NASA/Goddard Space Flight Center, Space Geodesy Program, Version 9.2
- Hinteregger, H. F., Rogers, A. E. E., Cappallo, R. J., Webber, J. C., Petrachenko, W. T., & Allen, H. 1991, *IEEE Trans. Magn.*, Vol. 27, no. 3, 3455
- Hirabayashi, H., Hirose, H., Kobayashi, H., Murata, Y., Edwards, P.G., Fomalont, E.B., Fujisawa, K., Ichikawa, T., Kii, T., Lovell, J.E.J., Moellenbrock, G.A., Okayasu, R., Inoue, M., Kawaguchi, N., Kameno, S., Shibata, K.M., Asaki, Y., Bushimata, T., Enome, S., Horiuchi, S., Miyaji, T., Umemoto, T., Migenes, V., Wajima, K., Nakajima, J., Morimoto, M., Ellis, J., Meier, D.L., Murphy, D.W., Preston, R.A., Smith, J.G., Tingay, S.J., Traub, D.L., Wietfeldt, R.D., Benson, J.M., Claussen, M.J., Flatters, C., Romney, J.D., Ulvestad, J.S., D’Addario, L.R., Langston, G.I., Minter, A.H., Carlson, B.R., Dewdney, P.E., Jauncey, D.L., Reynolds, J.E., Taylor,

- A.R., McCulloch, P.M., Cannon, W.H., Gurvits, L.I., Mioduszewski, A.J., Schilizzi, R.T., & Booth, R.S. 1998, *Science*, 281, 1825
- Hirosawa, H., & Hirabayashi, H. 1996, Design and Development of the Space VLBI Satellite for the VSOP (VLBI Space Observatory Programme), *Space Technology*, Vol. 16, No. 3, 161
- Lynch, J. M. 1992, SPK Required Reading – Navigation Ancillary Information Facility, NAIF Document No. 168.03
- Napier, P. J., Bagri, D. S., Clark, B. G., Rogers, A. E. E., Romney, J. D., Thompson, A. R., & Walker, R. C. 1994, *Proc. IEEE*, 82, 658
- Narayan, R., & Goodman, J. 1989, *MNRAS*, 238, 963
- Papoulis, A. 1991, *Probability, Random Variables, and Stochastic Processes - Third Edition*, McGraw-Hill, New York
- Petrachenko, W. T., Mathieu, P., Popelar, J., Cannon, W. H., Tan, H., & Wietfeldt, R. D. 1993, The S2 Frequency Agile Data Acquisition Terminal, VLBI Technology – Progress and Future Observational Possibilities in *Proceedings of the International Symposium Held at Kyoto International Conference Hall*, ed. Sasao, T., Manabe, S., Kameya, O., Inoue, M. (Terra Scientific Publishing) 345
- Ryan, J. W., & Ma, C. 1979, Mark III Interactive Data Analysis System, NASA Conference Publication, 2115, 347
- Springett, J. C. 1992, *Primer and Handbook Phase Stable Frequency Transfer for Orbiting VLBI Radio Telescopes*, Jet Propulsion Laboratory Contract No. 958183, NeoComm Systems Inc.
- Steiglitz, K., Parks, T. W., & Kaiser, J. F. 1992, *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, 40, 1901
- Thompson, A. R., Moran, J. M., & Swenson, G. W. 1986, *Interferometry and Synthesis in Radio Astronomy*, Wiley, New York
- Whitney, A. R. 1982, The Mark III Correlator: Design, Operation, and Productivity in *Proceedings of the IAG Symposium No. 5: Geodetic Applications of Radio Interferometry*, 152
- Wietfeldt, R. D., Baer, D., Cannon, W. H., Feil, G., Jakovina, R., Leone, P., Newby, P. S., & Tan, H. 1996 *IEEE Transactions on Instrumentation and Measurement*, 45, 923
- Yen, J. L., Leone, P., Watson, G. A., Wietfeldt, R. D., Zao, J. K., Cannon, W. H., Mathieu, P., Tan, H., Popelar, J., & Galt, J. A. 1988, *The Canadian Geophysical Long*

Baseline Interferometer in IAU Symp. 129, Impact of VLBI on Astrophysics and Geophysics, Reid, M. J. and Moran, J. M. Eds., 489

The Parallel Sub System Bus of the IEC 821 Bus [VME Sub System Bus], Revision C, November 1986.

This figure "figure1.gif" is available in "gif" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/9908314v1>

This figure "figure2.gif" is available in "gif" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/9908314v1>

This figure "figure3.gif" is available in "gif" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/9908314v1>

This figure "figure4.gif" is available in "gif" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/9908314v1>

This figure "figure5a.gif" is available in "gif" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/9908314v1>

This figure "figure5b.gif" is available in "gif" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/9908314v1>

This figure "figure5c.gif" is available in "gif" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/9908314v1>

This figure "figure6.gif" is available in "gif" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/9908314v1>

This figure "figure7.gif" is available in "gif" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/9908314v1>

This figure "figure8a.gif" is available in "gif" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/9908314v1>

This figure "figure8b.gif" is available in "gif" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/9908314v1>

This figure "figure9a.gif" is available in "gif" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/9908314v1>

This figure "figure9b.gif" is available in "gif" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/9908314v1>

This figure "figure10.gif" is available in "gif" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/9908314v1>

This figure "figure11.gif" is available in "gif" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/9908314v1>

This figure "figure12.gif" is available in "gif" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/9908314v1>

This figure "figure13.gif" is available in "gif" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/9908314v1>